

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,186	11/07/2000	Ned S. Rasor	CAPR-1030-PA	1156	
7	590 06/13/2003				
Jill L. Robinson			EXAMINER		
95 Shuey Drive Moraga, CA 94556			DAWSON, 0	DAWSON, GLENN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3761	15	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	V	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/708,186	RASOR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Glenn K Dawson	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u> March 2003</u> .				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	on of Claims	anding in the application				
4) Claim(s) 15-17,21-32,34,35 and 46-55 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15,16,26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) <u>17,21-25,28 and 30-32</u> is/are allowed.					
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
LS Patent and T	rademark Office					

Art Unit: 3761

#### Election/Restrictions

Claims 15,16 and 26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant's election of III-A in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 27,29,34,35 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Garrett-6001332.

Garrett discloses the inhalation of Carbon Dioxide gas together with a drug whereby the efficacy of the drug is enhanced by the Carbon Dioxide. See col. 2 lines 20-51, col. 3 line 37-col. 4 line 13.

Art Unit: 3761

Claims 51-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Samiotes-6125844.

Samiotes discloses the inhalation of a gas mixture containing both NO and CO<sub>2</sub> in addition to drugs. The efficacy of the drug is enhanced. See col. 6 lines 22-33; col. 8 line 38-col. 9 line 4.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3761

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samiotes-'844 in view of Klokkers-Bethke, et al.-5370862.

Samiotes discloses the method as claimed with the exception of the use of nitroglycerine to treat angina. Klokkers-Bethke discloses the use of a nitroglycerine preparation to treat angina. It would have been obvious to have provided nitroglycerine as one of the drugs used by Samiotes, as it was known to treat angina. To have used Samiotes' gas mixture with nitroglycerine would have been obvious because the gas mixture would have increased the efficacy of the vasodilators as the gas mixture itself would act as a vasodilator.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17,21-25,28 and 30-32 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K Dawson whose telephone number is 703-308-4304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703-308-1957. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 3761

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761 Page 5

gkd June 9, 2003